

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,712	MASUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	

All Participants:

 (1) Patricia L. Nordmeyer.

 (2) Walter Hackler.
Status of Application: Under Appeal

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2007
Time: 3:30 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 7 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Hackler in order to cancel the claim language "without a release coating" from claims 1 and 7, which was rejected under 112 1st paragraph as new matter. Claims 6 and 11 were cancelled because the limitations in the claims were already amended into the independent claims..